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## 1 APPENDIX

### 1.1 Proposition 1

Prop.1. The shape of $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ is independent of the translation $\mathbf{b}$, and so do $C$. Given any $R$, the best $\mathbf{b}$ minimizing $\|\xi(R)\|_{\infty}$ is the vector from the origin $\mathbf{o}$ to the center $\mathbf{c}$ of $C$, and the minimum $\|\xi(R)\|_{\infty}$ is the radius $r_{c}$ of $C$.

Proof. Independence of the translation is deduced since the edge vector $\mathbf{u}_{j} \mathbf{u}_{i}=\mathbf{u}_{i}-\mathbf{u}_{j}=\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}-R \mathbf{p}_{i}-\mathbf{b}\right)-\left(\mathbf{v}_{j}-R \mathbf{p}_{j}-\mathbf{b}\right)=\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}-\right.$ $\left.R \mathbf{p}_{i}\right)-\left(\mathbf{v}_{j}-R \mathbf{p}_{j}\right)$ is independent of the translation $\mathbf{b}$. Hence, the shape of $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ and the minimum covering circle (MCC) $C$ of $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ are independent of the translation $\mathbf{b}$ (Fig. 1).

Given a rotation $R$, we get the rotated template triangle $\Delta \mathbf{p}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{p}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{p}_{2}^{\prime}$, where $\mathbf{p}_{i}^{\prime}=R \mathbf{p}_{i} . \Delta \mathbf{u}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\prime}$ is the triangle without the translation $\mathbf{b}$, i.e., $\mathbf{u}_{i}^{\prime}=\mathbf{p}_{i}^{\prime}-\mathbf{v}_{i}$. We denote $V_{\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\prime}}=\left\{\mathbf{u}_{0}^{\prime}, \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$. Let $\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{o}$. Define $d_{S}(\mathbf{v})=\max _{\mathbf{s} \in S}\|\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{s}\|_{2}$ as the distance from a point $\mathbf{v}$ to a point set $S$. Then, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\xi\|_{\infty} & =\max \left\{\left\|\mathbf{v}_{0}-\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\prime}-\mathbf{b}\right\|_{2},\left\|\mathbf{v}_{1}-\mathbf{p}_{1}^{\prime}-\mathbf{b}\right\|_{2},\left\|\mathbf{v}_{2}-\mathbf{p}_{2}^{\prime}-\mathbf{b}\right\|_{2}\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}^{\prime}-\mathbf{b}\right\|_{2},\left\|\mathbf{u}_{1}^{\prime}-\mathbf{b}\right\|_{2},\left\|\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\prime}-\mathbf{b}\right\|_{2}\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}^{\prime}-\mathbf{q}\right\|_{2},\left\|\mathbf{u}_{1}^{\prime}-\mathbf{q}\right\|_{2},\left\|\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\prime}-\mathbf{q}\right\|_{2}\right\} \\
& \left.=d_{V_{\Delta u_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\prime}}} \mathbf{q}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The problem $\min \|\xi\|_{\infty}$ is converted to finding the best point $\mathbf{q}$ to minimize the distance from $\mathbf{q}$ to the vertices of $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\prime}$.
We claim that the best point $\mathbf{q}$ for minimizing $d_{V_{\Delta u_{0}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime}}}(\mathbf{q})$ is the center $\mathbf{c}$ of $C$. Otherwise, there must be a point $\mathbf{o}^{*}$ s.t. $d_{V_{\Delta u_{0}^{\prime} 0_{1}^{\prime} 1_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{o}^{*}\right)<$ $d_{V_{\Delta u_{0}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\prime}}^{\prime}}(\mathbf{c})=r_{c}$. Then, setting $r^{*}=d_{V_{\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\prime}}}\left(\mathbf{o}^{*}\right)$, the circle $C_{\mathbf{o}^{*}}\left(r^{*}\right)$ covers all the vertices of $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\prime}$ and $r^{*}<r_{c}$, which contradicts
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Fig. 1. Independent of the translation. (a) $\Delta \mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}$ is a triangle of the remeshed mesh $\mathcal{R}$ and $\Delta \mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ indicates the template triangle $\Delta \mathbf{p}_{0} \mathbf{p}_{1} \mathbf{p}_{2}$ after a rigid transformation $R, \mathbf{b}$. (b) Move the starting points of the three vectors $\left(v_{0}-a_{0}, v_{1}-\mathbf{a}_{1}, v_{2}-a_{2}\right)$ to the origin $\mathbf{o}$. The orange circle is the MCC of $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ is its center. Here $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ is an obtuse triangle and $\mathbf{c}$ is the midpoint of its longest edge. The transparent figures show another case with the same rotation but the different translation. The shape of green triangle $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ remains the same, so do the MCC.


(b)

Fig. 2. The obtuse triangle case. (a) $\Delta \mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}$ is a triangle of $\mathcal{R}$ and $\Delta \mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ indicates the template triangle $\Delta \mathbf{p}_{0} \mathbf{p}_{1} \mathbf{p}_{2}$ after best rigid transformation $R, \mathbf{b}$. $\mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{v}_{2}$ coincide and their midpoints coincide at a point, denoted as $\mathbf{m}$. (b) Move the starting points of the three vectors ( $\mathbf{v}_{0}-\mathbf{a}_{0}, \mathbf{v}_{1}-\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}-\mathbf{a}_{2}$ ) to the origin $\mathbf{o}$. The orange circle is the MCC of $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ is its center. $\mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ is the longest edge. Based on Prop. 1 , the origin $\mathbf{o}$ is at the center $\mathbf{c}$ of $C$ after the best translation $\mathbf{b}$. The transparent figures show another case with the best translation but another rotation. Corresponding edges $\mathbf{e}_{f}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{t}$ do not coincide and the radius of its MCC is bigger.
the definition of MCC. As a result, $\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{o}$. Since the MCC of $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ is independent of the translation $\mathbf{b}, \min \|\xi\|_{\infty}=$ $d_{V_{\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathrm{u}_{1} \mathrm{u}_{2}}}(\mathbf{c})=r_{c}$.

### 1.2 Proposition 2

Prop. 2. The longest edge of $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ corresponds to an edge of $\mathbf{f}$ (denoted as $\mathbf{e}_{f}$ ) and an edge of $\mathbf{t}$ (denoted as $\mathbf{e}_{t}$ ), respectively. Then, if $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ is an obtuse triangle when $\|\xi\|_{\infty}$ reaches the minimum, then $\mathbf{e}_{f}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{t}$ coincide and their midpoints coincide.

Proof. Without the loss of generality, assume the longest edge is $\mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ and the best rotation is $R^{*}$ when $\|\xi\|_{\infty}$ obtains the minimum. Considering MCC in the obtuse case, the radius $r_{c}$ is the half of the longest side $\mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ and the center $\mathbf{c}$ is at the midpoint of $\mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{2}$. Based on Prop. 1, the origin $\mathbf{o}$ is at the center $\mathbf{c}$ of $C$ after the best
translation $\mathbf{b}$, indicating that $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{v}_{0}}=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{c u}_{0}}=-\overrightarrow{\mathbf{c u}_{2}}=-\overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}}$. Hence, the midpoints of $\mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ coincide at a point, denoted as $\mathbf{m}$ (Fig. 2).
Next, we prove that $\mathbf{e}_{f}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{t}$ coincide by contradiction. Since $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ and $C$ are independent of the translation, the center of rotation does not influence the shape of $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ and $C$. Without the loss of generality, we now rotate $\Delta \mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ around $\mathbf{m}$. Suppose that $\mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ are not coincided after the best rotation $R^{*}$. Since $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ is an obtuse triangle, we have $\left|\mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}\right|=\left|\mathbf{c u}_{1}\right|<\left|\mathbf{c u}_{0}\right|=$ $\left|\mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{v}_{0}\right|=\left|\mathbf{a}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}\right|=r$. Thus, we can rotate $\Delta \mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ a small angle $\delta_{\theta}$ to a new rotated template triangle, denoted as $\triangle \mathbf{a}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{a}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{a}_{2}^{\prime}$, whose $\left|\mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{1}^{\prime}\right|$ is still smaller than $\left|\mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{a}_{0}^{\prime}\right|=\left|\mathbf{v}_{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}^{\prime}\right|=r^{\prime}$ and $r^{\prime}<r$. However, $r^{\prime}<r$ contradicts the assertion that rotation $R^{*}$ is the best rotation.

## $1.3 f(\theta)$

Based on Prop. 1, the shape of $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ and $C$ are also independent of the center of rotation. In the acute triangle case, we place $\mathbf{a}_{0}$ at the origin $\mathbf{o}$, then the center of rotation $R$ is $\mathbf{a}_{0}$. We draw the auxiliary lines, as shown in Fig. 3, where quadrilaterals $\mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{a}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ are parallelograms. Then, $\Delta \mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ is the same as $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$. Thus, we only need to find the radius of the circumcircle of $\Delta \mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ :

$$
\min _{R} r_{c}=\min _{R} \frac{\left\|\mathbf{u}_{1}-\mathbf{v}_{0}\right\|_{2} \cdot\left\|\mathbf{u}_{2}-\mathbf{u}_{1}\right\|_{2} \cdot\left\|\mathbf{v}_{0}-\mathbf{u}_{2}\right\|_{2}}{4 \operatorname{Area}\left(\Delta \mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}\right)},
$$

where

$$
R=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos (\theta) & -\sin (\theta) \\
\sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The expression for $r_{c}$ can be derived as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\theta) & =r_{c}=\frac{\left\|\mathbf{u}_{1}-\mathbf{v}_{0}\right\|_{2} \cdot\left\|\mathbf{u}_{2}-\mathbf{u}_{1}\right\|_{2} \cdot\left\|\mathbf{v}_{0}-\mathbf{u}_{2}\right\|_{2}}{4 \operatorname{Area}\left(\Delta \mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}\right)} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \cos \theta+\alpha_{3} \sin \theta\right)\left(\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5} \cos \theta+\alpha_{6} \sin \theta\right)\left(\alpha_{7}+\alpha_{8} \cos \theta+\alpha_{9} \sin \theta\right)}{\left(\alpha_{10}+\alpha_{11} \cos \theta+\alpha_{12} \sin \theta\right)^{2}}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \frac{1-t^{2}}{t^{2}+1}+\alpha_{3} \frac{2 t}{t^{2}+1}\right)\left(\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5} \frac{1-t^{2}}{t^{2}+1}+\alpha_{6} \frac{2 t}{t^{2}+1}\right)\left(\alpha_{7}+\alpha_{8} \frac{1-t^{2}}{t^{2}+1}+\alpha_{9} \frac{2 t}{t^{2}+1}\right.}{\left(\alpha_{10}+\alpha_{11} \frac{1-t^{2}}{t^{2}+1}+\alpha_{12} \frac{2 t}{t^{2}+1}\right)^{2}}} \\
& =g(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t=\tan (\theta / 2)$ and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{12}$ are the constants related to triangles $\mathbf{f}=\Delta \mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{t}=\Delta \mathbf{p}_{0} \mathbf{p}_{1} \mathbf{p}_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha 1=x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}^{2}-2 x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}} x_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}+x_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}^{2}+x_{\mathbf{P}_{0}}^{2}-2 x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}} x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}+x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}^{2}+y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}^{2}-2 y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}+y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}^{2}+y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}^{2}-2 y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}+y_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}^{2} \text {, } \\
& \alpha 2=2\left(x_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}\left(x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}-x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}\right)+x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}\left(-x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}+x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}\right)-\left(y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}-y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}\right)\left(y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}-y_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}\right)\right), \\
& \alpha 3=2\left(x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}\left(y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}-y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}\right)+x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}\left(-y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}+y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}\right)+\left(x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}-x_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}\right)\left(y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}-y_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}\right)\right), \\
& \alpha 4=x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}^{2}-2 x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}} x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}+x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}^{2}+x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}^{2}-2 x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}} x_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}+x_{\mathbf{P}_{2}}^{2}+y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}^{2}-2 y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}+y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}^{2}+y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}^{2}-2 y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}+y_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}^{2} \text {, } \\
& \alpha 5=2\left(x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}\left(x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}-x_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}\right)+x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}\left(-x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}+x_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}\right)-\left(y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}-y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}\right)\left(y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}-y_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}\right)\right), \\
& \alpha 6=2\left(x_{\mathbf{P}_{2}}\left(y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}-y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}\right)+x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}\left(-y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}+y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}\right)+\left(x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}-x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}\right)\left(y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}-y_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}\right)\right), \\
& \alpha 7=x_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}^{2}-2 x_{\mathbf{v}_{1}} x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}+x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}^{2}+x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}^{2}-2 x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}} x_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}+x_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}^{2}+y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}^{2}-2 y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}+y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}^{2}+y_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}^{2}-2 y_{\mathbf{p}_{1}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}+y_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}^{2} \text {, } \\
& \alpha 8=2\left(x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}\left(x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}-x_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}\right)+x_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}\left(-x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}+x_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}\right)-\left(y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}-y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}\right)\left(y_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}-y_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}\right)\right), \\
& \alpha 9=2\left(x_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}\left(y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}-y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}\right)+x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}\left(-y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}+y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}\right)+\left(x_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}-x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}\right)\left(y_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}-y_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}\right)\right), \\
& \alpha 10=2\left(x_{\mathbf{v}_{1}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}-x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}-x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}+x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}+x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}-x_{\mathbf{v}_{1}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}+x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}-x_{\mathbf{P}_{2}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}-x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+x_{\mathrm{p}_{2}} y_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}+x_{\mathrm{p}_{0}} y_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}-x_{\mathrm{p}_{1}} y_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}\right), \\
& \alpha 11=2\left(x_{\mathbf{p}_{2}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}+x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}-x_{\mathbf{p}_{2}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}-x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}-x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}+x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}} y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}-x_{\mathbf{v}_{1}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}+x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}+x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.-x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}-x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}} y_{\mathrm{P}_{2}}+x_{\mathrm{v}_{1}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}\right), \\
& \alpha 12=2\left(-x_{\mathbf{v}_{1}} x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}+x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}} x_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}+x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}} x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}-x_{\mathbf{v}_{2}} x_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}-x_{\mathbf{v}_{0}} x_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}+x_{\mathbf{v}_{1}} x_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}-y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}+y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{0}}+y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.-y_{\mathbf{v}_{2}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{1}}-y_{\mathbf{v}_{0}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}+y_{\mathbf{v}_{1}} y_{\mathbf{p}_{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g(t)=f(\theta) \geq 0, \arg \min _{t} g(t)=\arg \min _{t} G(t)=g^{2}(t)$. To solve $\min _{t} G(t)$, we differentiate $G(t)$ and take the numerator of $G^{\prime}(t)$ as $P(t)$. Since $P(t)$ is a tenth degree polynomial, we use


Fig. 3. The acute triangle case. (a) $\Delta \mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}$ is a triangle of $\mathcal{R}$ and $\triangle \mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ indicates the template triangle $\Delta \mathbf{p}_{0} \mathbf{p}_{1} \mathbf{p}_{2}$ after a rigid transformation $R, \mathbf{b}$. Quadrilaterals $\mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{a}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ are parallelograms. $\mathbf{c}$ is the center of the circumcircle of $\Delta \mathbf{a}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$, which is the same as the MCC of $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{0} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}$ for the acute triangle. (b) The image of the function $f(\theta)=r_{c}$.


Fig. 4. Blue points in the figure are the templates' vertices corresponding to $\mathbf{v}_{i}$, i.e., points in $S\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)$. The orange circle represents the minimum bounding sphere of $S\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)$, denoted as $B_{S\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)} \cdot \mathbf{c}_{i}$ is the center of $B_{S\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)} . \mathcal{P}$ is the plane perpendicular to the line $\overline{\mathbf{v}_{i} \mathbf{c}_{i}}$ through the point $\mathbf{c}_{i}$ divideing the minimum bounding sphere $B_{S\left(v_{i}\right)}$ into two parts $B_{X}$ and $B_{Y}$. The bisecting plane of the edge $\mathbf{q}_{1} \mathbf{q}_{2}$ divide the space into two parts, and we denote $Z$ as the part containing $\mathbf{q}_{1}$. When moving $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ from its start point to $\mathbf{c}_{i}, \max _{s \in S\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)} \| s-$ $\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right) \|$ decreases monotonically and so does the $\max _{\mathbf{f} \in \Omega_{i}} d_{\text {assembly }}(\mathbf{f})$.

Jenkins-Traub algorithm [Jenkins and Traub 1970] to find its all ten roots. We first compare the function values at the ten roots to find the minimum and then compute the corresponding $\theta$ as the result.

### 1.4 Proposition 3

Prop. 3. For each vertex $\mathbf{v}_{i}$, the maximum assembly error on its one-ring triangles (denoted as $\Omega_{i}$ ) of $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\max _{\mathbf{f} \in \Omega_{i}} d_{\text {assembly }}(\mathbf{f})=\max _{\mathbf{f} \in \Omega_{i}} \min _{\substack{\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T} \\ f \in\{1, \cdots, 6\}}} d_{\max }\left(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{t}^{\phi_{j}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq 1$ is the step size. Then, $d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ monotonically decreases with respect to $\alpha_{i}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the plane perpendicular to the line $\overline{\mathbf{v}_{i} \mathbf{c}_{i}}$ through the point $\mathbf{c}_{i}$ (Fig. 4). $S\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)$ consists of the templates' vertices corresponding to $\mathbf{v}_{i} . \mathscr{P}$ divides the minimum bounding sphere $B_{S\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)}$ into two parts $B_{X}$ and $B_{Y}$. Let $B_{X}$ be the part far away from $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ and $B_{X}^{\prime}=B_{X} \cup \mathcal{P}$. Then, the point set $X=S\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right) \cap B_{X}^{\prime}$ are not empty; otherwise, there is a bounding sphere having a smaller radius than $B_{S\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)}$, which contradicts the assertion that $B_{S\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)}$ is the minimum bounding sphere. Let $\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\prime}=\mathbf{v}_{i}+\alpha_{i} \mathbf{d}$, we define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=d_{S\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)}\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\max _{s \in S\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)}\left\|s-\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}+\alpha_{i} \mathbf{d}\right)\right\|, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{S}(\mathbf{v})=\max _{\mathbf{s} \in S}\|\mathbf{v}-\boldsymbol{s}\|_{2}$ is defined as the distance from a point v to a point set $S$.
$\delta\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ is monotonically decreasing, and we prove it by contradiction. According to the fact that $\delta\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=d_{S}\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\prime}\right)=d_{X}\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $d_{Y}\left(\mathrm{v}_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, we only need to focus on the set $X$ and $d_{X}\left(\mathrm{v}_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Suppose that $\delta\left(\alpha_{i}\right), \alpha_{i} \in[0,1]$ does not monotonically decrease, then there are two different values $\alpha_{i}^{1}<\alpha_{i}^{2}$, s.t. $\delta\left(\alpha_{i}^{1}\right)<\delta\left(\alpha_{i}^{2}\right)$. Namely, $\exists \mathbf{q}_{1}, \mathbf{q}_{2} \in \overline{\mathbf{v}_{i} \mathbf{c}_{i}}, \mathbf{q}_{1}=\mathbf{v}_{i}+\alpha_{i}^{1} \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{q}_{2}=\mathbf{v}_{i}+\alpha_{i}^{2} \mathbf{d}$ and $\alpha_{i}^{1}<\alpha_{i}^{2}$, s.t. $d_{X}\left(\mathbf{q}_{1}\right)<d_{X}\left(\mathbf{q}_{2}\right)$. Let $\mathbf{x}_{2} \in X$ be the point where $d_{X}\left(\mathbf{q}_{2}\right)$ is obtained, i.e., $d_{X}\left(\mathbf{q}_{2}\right)=\left\|\mathbf{q}_{2}-\mathbf{x}_{2}\right\|$. Then, $\left\|\mathbf{q}_{1}-\mathbf{x}_{2}\right\| \leq d_{X}\left(\mathbf{q}_{1}\right)<d_{X}\left(\mathbf{q}_{2}\right)=$ $\left\|\mathbf{q}_{2}-\mathbf{x}_{2}\right\|$. The bisecting plane of the edge $\mathbf{q}_{1} \mathbf{q}_{2}$ divide the space into two parts, and we denote $Z$ as the part containing $\mathbf{q}_{1}$. We have $Z \cap X=\emptyset$, and since $\mathbf{x}_{2} \in X, \mathbf{x}_{2} \notin Z$. Thus, $\left\|\mathbf{q}_{1}-\mathbf{x}_{2}\right\| \geq\left\|\mathbf{q}_{2}-\mathbf{x}_{2}\right\|$, and the contradiction arises.
Then, $\forall \alpha_{i} \in[0,1]$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\max _{\mathbf{f} \in \Omega_{i}} d_{\text {assembly }}(\mathbf{f})=\max _{\mathbf{f} \in \Omega_{i}} \min _{\substack{t \in \mathcal{T} \\ j \in\{1, \cdots, 6\}}} d_{\max }\left(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{t}^{\phi_{j}}\right) \geq \delta\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\max _{\mathbf{f} \in \Omega_{i}} d_{\text {assembly }}(\mathbf{f})$ must be obtained at one vertex on certain triangle $\mathbf{f} \in \Omega_{i}$, denoted as $\mathbf{v}^{*}$. If $\mathbf{v}^{*}=\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\prime}$, then $d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=$
$\delta\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$; otherwise, $d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ is obtained at another point, indicating that $d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ does not change as $\alpha_{i}$ updates. More specifically, if $\exists \alpha_{i}^{\prime}$, s.t. $d\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right)>\delta\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, then $d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ is constant $\forall \alpha_{i} \in\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)$; otherwise $d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\delta\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$. Hence, since $\delta\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ is monotonically decreasing, $d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ is also monotonically decreasing.

### 1.5 Proposition 4

Prop. 4. Given two triangles $A=\triangle \mathbf{a}_{o} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ and $B=\Delta \mathbf{b}_{0} \mathbf{b}_{1} \mathbf{b}_{2}$, let $d_{H}(A, B)$ be the two-sided Hausdorff distance between two triangles and $\xi=\left(\left\|\mathbf{b}_{0}-\mathbf{a}_{0}\right\|_{2},\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}-\mathbf{a}_{1}\right\|_{2},\left\|\mathbf{b}_{2}-\mathbf{a}_{2}\right\|_{2}\right)$. Then,

$$
d_{H}(A, B) \leq\|\xi\|_{\infty} \leq\|\xi\|_{2} .
$$

Proof. Since $\forall i \in\{0,1,2\}, d_{H}(A, B) \leq\left\|\mathbf{b}_{i}-\mathbf{a}_{i}\right\|_{2} \leq\|\xi\|_{2}, d_{H}(A, B) \leq$ $\|\xi\|_{\infty} \leq\|\xi\|_{2}$.
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